

Filing Rules

Katherine Haigh

XBRL International Best Practices Board Quality Assurance Manager, CoreFiling





Agenda

- 1. Definitions
- 2. Examples
- 3. Pros and Cons
- 4. Guidance
- 5. Checklists
- 6. Rules to Avoid
- 7. Preparing and Publishing







Examples

Example constraints that may be need to be specified as filing rules

Whether any file size limits are applicable

Whether preparers can use more than one **language** in a single report

Whether preparers are allowed to modify the **enumeration list**

Whether defining new explicit or typed taxonomy-defined **dimensions** is permitted Whether the date should be reported with the relevant **time zone** or with no specified time zone Whether preparers are required or permitted to replace base taxonomy **labels**



Pros and Cons

Pros

Help to make sure a filing complies with the technical requirements & limitations of the relevant filing system

Ensure consistency between filing programs

Cons

Create a burden for software developers

Software solutions may cease to be reusable across markets

Can harm interoperability



Guidance

General Best Practice

Specific

Checklists

• Minimise the number of filing rules

- Avoid rules that specify constraints on syntax permitted by the XBRL specifications
- Do not restate validation required by an XBRL specification
- Distinguish 'rules' that cannot be automatically enforced from those that can
- For XBRL Reports & Preparer Taxonomy Extension
 - To be used as a reference by data collectors
 - Primarily Syntactical & Technical in nature
 - Classify rules into categories





Checklist for XBRL Report Filing Rules



More Details - Checklist for XBRL report filing rules



Checklist for Taxonomy Extension Filing Rules

Naming conventions	Elements to be extended	Concept metadata	Taxonomy relationships	Taxonomy construction
Namespaces, Arcroles and roles, Element name, Labels, Dimensional components	Domain members, Dimensions, Hypercubes, Concepts, Components	Labels & References	Relationship trees, Dimensions network, EE	Packaging, Schema and Linkbase files, Base taxonomy files
Naming conventions of namespace	Permitted data types to be used for extension taxonomy concepts	Whether new elements defined by preparers require references	Whether relationships from the base taxonomy are to be included in the extension taxonomy	<i>If label linkbase files are to be spilt based on language or role</i>

More Details - Checklist for taxonomy extension filing rules



Rules to Avoid

Burden on preparers and software developers and can ultimately harm interoperability

Syntax-level constraints

- Creates implementation
 burden
 - E.g., format of context IDs, unit IDs or any other similar identifiers
- Constraints on the ordering of elements within an XBRL report

Restatement of specification rules

- Does not add any value
- Subtle differences that can cause confusion
 - E.g., "The default member of any axis should not be reported in any context"
- Restriction of unused namespace, context/units





Preparing and Publishing

Best Practices

Define filing rules as XBRL formula rules wherever possible

Give each rule a unique identifier Provide a humanreadable description of each rule

Publish a test suite for the filing rules Provide test services for both preparers and software developers

Do not include filing rules from other projects unless required



Filing Rules Guidance Links

- <u>Checklist for XBRL report filing rules</u>
- <u>Checklist for taxonomy extension filing rules</u>
- How to prepare and publish filing rules





Feedback / Queries? Write to the taskforce! igtf@xbrl.org

