data **main amplified** 

2016 | the future of business reporting

A solution to align corporate reporting frameworks: The case of GRI and CDP

**SINGAPORE** 8-10 NOVEMBER 2016 **SPEAKER**:

### Maria Mora

University of Bristol Technical Manager at CDP

### **Co-authors:**

Ian Hicks, Chair BPB XBRL International Francisco Flores, Member of AECA

### **OVERVIEW**

- Motivation
- Methodology
- Results and discussion
- Conclusions
- Further research
- Acknowledgement

### MOTIVATION

<ul> <li>European Directive for non-financial reporting (2014/95/EU)</li> <li>What?</li> <li>Brivionmental matters Social and employee apects, Respect for human (gin) to the second advectors Amiconyption and bribey issues Directly in their board dectors</li> <li>Who? Public interest organisations with more than 500 employees (~6000 large companies in EU)</li> <li>How?</li> <li>Suggests a set of voluntary reporting frameworks to enable compliance.</li> <li>When? 2017</li> </ul>	The second secon
Regulation	Reality

### COMING NFR REGULATION IN EU

 European Directive for non-financial reporting (2014/95/EU)
 What?

> Environmental matters Social and employee aspects, Respect for human rights Anticorruption and bribery issues Diversity in their board directors

Who? Public interest organisations with more than 500 employees (~6000 large companies in EU)

### How?

Suggests a set of voluntary reporting frameworks to enable compliance.

When? 2017

### **REALITY: CORPORATE REPORTING ECOSYSTEM / BURDENS**



### CDP AND GRI LINKING INITIATIVE

#### CC2. STRATEGY

#### **RISK MANAGEMENT APPROACH**

#### CC2.1

Please select the option that best describes your risk management procedures with regard to climate change risks and opportunities

[drop down menu selection]

#### CC2.1a

Please provide further details on your risk management procedures with regard to climate change risks and opportunities

[table question]

#### CC2.1b

Please describe how your risk and opportunity identification processes are applied at both company and asset level

[free text question]

#### CC2.1c

How do you prioritize the risks and opportunities identified?

[free text question]

#### GENERAL STANDARD DISCLOSURES

#### G4-2

Extracts from G4-2-a: a. Provide a description of key impacts risks and opportunities.

[...]

Section One should [...] include:

[...]

 An explanation of the approach to prioritizing these risks and opportunities

[...]

Section Two should [...] include the following:

[...]

 Prioritization of key sustainability topics as risks and opportunities according to their relevance for long-term organizational strategy, competitive position, qualitative, and (if possible) quantitative financial value drivers

Continues on next page

G4 reporters report information requested by CC2.1 – CC2.1c under G4-2, G4-45, G4-46, G4-47 and the DMA for the Emissions Aspect. However, these G4 disclosures have a broader scope than the corresponding CDP questions, referring to sustainability or economic, environmental and social issues more generally.

Linking GRI and CDP (2016)

**RESEARCH GOAL – BIG DATA PROBLEM** 

# How can we align key facts from CDP and GRI frameworks?

- Benefits

- The place of XBRL

### DATA SCIENCE METHODOLOGY



### **CLASSIFICATION METHOD- TRANING**





Disclosure of Climate Change Strategy 2145 companies 3 years (2014-2015) 6 questions Only English language

CC2.1b: Please describe how your <b>risk</b> and opportunity identification processes are applied at both company and asset level.	5564 responses	
CC2.1c: How do you <b>prioritize</b> the risks and opportunities identified?	5492 responses	
CC2.2a: Please describe the process of how climate change is integrated into your <b>business strategy</b> and any outcomes of this process	5250 responses	
CC2.2b: Please explain why climate change <b>is not integrated</b> into your business strategy	1258 responses	
CC2.3f: What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities <b>that influence</b> <b>policy</b> are consistent with your overall climate change strategy?	5157 responses	
2.3g Please explain why you <b>do not</b> <b>engage</b> with policy makers	603 responses	
	23324 responses	

### **CLASSIFICATION METHOD - TRAINING**





23324 responses

Classified in 6

categories

- 1. Characters to lower case.
- 2. Remove punctuation marks.
- 3. Remove digits from the documents.
- 4. Remove extra whitespaces
- 5. Stem-word



SVM model

Supervised Machine learning algorithm : Support Vector Machine (SVM) 20% Training Test

80%

### RESULTS

DOC	PAGE	SVM_PROB	CDP_CATEGORY	GRI_TE>	ΚΤ
25	31	0.996380627	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	In addition	to
25	26	0.991984381	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	SV	M_PROB>= 90%
25	25	0.991773656	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	America to	ao
25	30	0.988395512	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	PILLAR 4	CDP(x) = GRI(y)
25	24	0.986643486	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	Air Canada	CDI(X) = GIII(Y)
25	28	0.949205134	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	Green Avia	tion
25	29	0.930827209	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	yielded	
25	15	0.907619585	CC2.3f_POLICY_MAKER_ENGAGEMENT	common	
25	41	0.907305561	CC2.3f POLICY MAKER ENGAGEMENT		
25	36	0.892748222	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	offset port	al
25	19	0.876495421	CC2.3f_POLICY_MAKER_ENGAGEMENT	With the	
25	34	0.864170972	CC2.3f_POLICY_MAKER_ENGAGEMENT	WORKING	
25	35	0.840176947	CC2.3f_POLICY_MAKER_ENGAGEMENT	Waste	SVM_PROB>=75%
25	27	0.839161197	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	price of US	$(106 \text{ CDP}(x) \sim \text{GRI}(y))$
25	4	0.829662692	CC2.3f_POLICY_MAKER_ENGAGEMENT	AT A GLAN	
25	10	0.816134856	CC2.1b_RISK_OPPORTUNITY_COMPANY_ASSET_LEVEL	GOVERNAM	NCE
25	14	0.789427389	CC2.1c PRIORITIZE RISK OPPORTUNITIES	To ensure t	hat
25	33	0.728042953	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE	model or a	ge,
25	42	0.672492461	CC2.2a_BUSINESS_STRATEGY_CLIMATE_CHANGE		
25	17	0.658503415	CC2.1b_RISK_OPPORTUNITY_COMPANY_ASSET_LEVEL	observatio	ns or
25	43	0.604386422	CC2.3f_POLICY_MAKER_ENGAGEMENT	Canadian	
25	21	0.602885243	CC2.3f_POLICY_MAKER_ENGAGEMENT	vacuum su	ction

### RESULTS (CDP(CC2.2a) = GRI(page 31))

In addition to efforts to mitigate emissions, Air Canada is also active in a number of other areas to reduce its environmental impact, notably with respect to minimizing the creation of waste and improving recycling. In terms of waste minimization, Air Canada has scored notable success, having been the first airline in North America to offer electronic boarding passes in 2007, the use of which has grown exponentially.

More recently, Air Canada Cargo has committed to increase the use of electronic air waybills (e-AWBs) by its customers. As of 2015, Air Canada Cargo customers can use a new e-Booking online tool to book and manage shipments, which allows them to log in, create a booking and obtain an e-AWB in a few steps. Measures such as e-Booking, as well as Cargo Portal Services enhancements, CC2.2a: Please describe the process of how climate change is integrated into your **business strategy** and any outcomes of this process

As of 2015, Air Canada Cargo customers can use a new e-Booking online tool to book and manage shipments

support customers in their adoption of paperless carriage of goods. Domestically, 50 per cent of all shipments tendered to Air Canada are paperless and this rate should grow to near 100 per cent by the end of 2016.

Air Canada Corporate Sustainability Report 2015 (page 31) http://database.globalreporting.org/reports/view/37699

### DISCUSSION

- Our solution is able to discover: CDP(questions) = GRI(text per page) CDP(questions) ~ GRI(text per page)
- The GRI input data used(pdf reports) do not contain GRI index references per text disclosed in reports.
- Making impossible to find relationships between GRI and CDP indexes: CDP(CC2.1a) = GRI(G4-1)
- We need this level of detail (fact level) to explore XBRL mapping between taxonomies.

### CONCLUSIONS

- We propose a **data science methodology** to determine alignments between GRI and CDP frameworks.
- We discovered the following relationships:
  - CDP(x) = GRI(y) (SVM\_prob>=90%)
  - $CDP(x) \sim GRI(y) (SVM_prob>=75\%)$ 
    - x: CDP question
    - y: text per page in PDF reports
- Benefits:
  - Analysis: More direct comparability of GRI and CDP reports
  - Quality: Cross validations between GRI and CDP text information.
  - Reducing reporting burden: disclosing once and submitting twice: to CDP and GRI

### FURTHER RESEARCH

 Discovering "aggregation level" relationships:

CDP(x) = GRI(y) + GRI(z)

• The role of XBRL exchanging linked XBRL data:

CDP(x) = GRI(y)CDP(X) = GRI(y) + GRI(z)

## Thanks for your attention <u>maria.mora@cdp.net</u> <u>maria.mora@bristol.ac.uk</u>

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Systems Centre at the University of Bristol and the EPSRC funded Industrial Doctorate Centre in Systems (Grant EP/G037353/1) and CDP Worldwide, London, UK.



